

**PERIODIC SOLUTIONS
FOR A NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION
WITH VARIABLE PARAMETER**

BO DU — JIANXIN ZHAO — WEIGAO GE

ABSTRACT. By means of Mawhin's continuation theorem, we present some sufficient conditions which guarantee the existence of at least one T -periodic solution for a first-order neutral equation with variable parameter. The interest is that the coefficient c is not a constant, which is different from the corresponding ones of past work.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to using Mawhin's continuation theorem to investigate the existence of periodic solutions for a first-order neutral equation with variable parameter as follows:

$$(x(t) - c(t)x(t - \tau))' + g(x(t - \gamma(t))) = e(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $g, e, \gamma \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $e(t) = e(t + T)$ and $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t + T)$; $c \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $|c(t)| \neq 1$ and $c(t + T) = c(t)$; T, τ are given constants with $T > 0$.

In recent years, neutral functional differential equations (NFDEs) have been extensively studied by many researchers. In [4]–[6], Lu and Ge studied the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34B13.

Key words and phrases. Neutral, variable parameter, periodic solution, Mawhin's continuation theorem.

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (No:10671012).

following NFDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(u(t) - ku(t - \tau)) &= g_1(u(t)) + g_2(u(t - \tau_1)) + p(t), \\ (x(t) + cx(t - r))'' + f(x'(t)) + g(x(t - \tau(t))) &= p(t), \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(u(t) - ku(t - \tau)) &= f(u(t))u'(t) + \alpha(t)g(u(t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j(t)g(u(t - \gamma_j(t))) + p(t). \end{aligned}$$

In [7] Enrico Serra studied a kind of NFDE in the following form:

$$x'(t) + ax'(t - \tau) = f(t, x(t)).$$

In [3] Liu considered the following first-order neutral functional differential equation:

$$(u(t) + Bu(t - \tau))' = g_1(t, u(t)) - g_2(t, u(t - \tau_1)) + p(t).$$

However, to the best of our knowledge, there are few results on the existence of periodic solutions to first-order neutral equations for the case of a variable $c(t)$. Recently, we obtained the properties of the neutral operator $A: C_T \rightarrow C_T$, $[Ax](t) = x(t) - c(t)x(t - \tau)$ in [6]. In this paper, we will obtain the existence of periodic solutions to equation (1.1) by using the properties of the operator A and Mawhin's continuation theorem.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we give some lemmas which will be used in this paper. Let

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} |c(t)|, \quad \sigma = \min_{t \in [0, T]} |c(t)|, \quad c_1 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |c'(t)|, \\ C_T &= \{x \mid x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t + T) \equiv x(t), \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm

$$|\varphi|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\varphi(t)|, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_T$$

and

$$C_T^1 = \{x \mid x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t + T) \equiv x(t), \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \{|\varphi|_0, |\varphi'|_0\}, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_T^1.$$

Clearly, C_T and C_T^1 are Banach spaces.

Define linear operator:

$$A: C_T \rightarrow C_T, \quad [Ax](t) = x(t) - c(t)x(t - \tau), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

LEMMA 2.1 ([1]). *If $|c(t)| \neq 1$, then operator A has continuous inverse A^{-1} on C_T , satisfying:*

$$(a) \quad [A^{-1}f](t) = \begin{cases} f(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^j c(t - (i-1)\tau) f(t - j\tau), & c_0 < 1, \text{ for all } f \in C_T, \\ -\frac{f(t+\tau)}{c(t+\tau)} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{j+1} \frac{1}{c(t+i\tau)} f(t+j\tau+\tau), & \sigma > 1, \text{ for all } f \in C_T. \end{cases}$$

$$(b) \quad \int_0^T |[A^{-1}f](t)| dt \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-c_0} \int_0^T |f(t)| dt, & c_0 < 1, \text{ for all } f \in C_T, \\ \frac{1}{\sigma-1} \int_0^T |f(t)| dt, & \sigma > 1, \text{ for all } f \in C_T. \end{cases}$$

Let X and Y be real Banach spaces and let $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator with index zero, here $D(L)$ denotes the domain of L . This means that ImL is closed in Y and $\dim \text{Ker } L = \text{codim } ImL < \infty$. If L is a Fredholm operator with index zero, then there exist continuous projectors $P: X \rightarrow X$, $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $Im P = \text{Ker } L$, $Im L = \text{Ker } Q = Im (I - Q)$ and $L_{D(L) \cap \text{Ker } P}: (I - P)X \rightarrow Im L$ is invertible. Denote by K_p the inverse of L_P .

Let Ω be an open bounded subset of X , a map $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be L -compact in $\bar{\Omega}$ if $QN(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and the operator $K_p(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega})$ is relatively compact. We first recall the famous Mawhin's continuation theorem.

LEMMA 2.2 ([2]). *Suppose that X and Y are two Banach spaces and $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Furthermore, $\Omega \subset X$ is an open bounded set and $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. If all the following conditions hold:*

- (a) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap D(L)$, for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,
- (b) $Nx \notin Im L$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$,
- (c) $\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \neq 0$,

where $J: Im Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$ is an isomorphism. Then equation $Lx = Nx$ has a solution on $\bar{\Omega} \cap D(L)$.

Define a linear operator

$$L: D(L) \subset C_T \rightarrow C_T, \quad Lx = (Ax)',$$

where $D(L) = \{x \mid x \in C_T^1\}$, and a nonlinear operator

$$N: C_T \rightarrow C_T, \quad Nx = -g(x(t - \gamma(t))) + e(t).$$

It is easy to see

$$\text{Im } L = \left\{ y \mid y \in C_T, \int_0^T y(s) ds = 0 \right\}.$$

Since for all $x \in \text{Ker } L$, $(x(t) - c(t)x(t - \tau))' = 0$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad x(t) - c(t)x(t - \tau) = 1.$$

Let $\varphi(t)$ be the unique T -periodic solution of (2.1), then $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ and

$$\text{Ker } L = \{a\varphi(t), a \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

So $\text{Im } L$ is closed in C_T and $\dim \text{Ker } L = \text{codim } \text{Im } L = 1$. Then the operator L is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Define continuous projectors

$$P: C_T \rightarrow \text{Ker } L, \quad (Px)(t) = \frac{\int_0^T x(t)\varphi(t) dt}{\int_0^T \varphi^2(t) dt} \varphi(t)$$

and

$$Q: C_T \rightarrow C_T/\text{Im } L, \quad Qy = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(s) ds.$$

Hence,

$$\text{Im } P = \text{Ker } L \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker } Q = \text{Im } L.$$

Set operators

$$L_P = L|_{D(L) \cap \text{Ker } P}: D(L) \cap \text{Ker } P \rightarrow \text{Im } L$$

and

$$L_P^{-1} = K_p: \text{Im } L \rightarrow D(L) \cap \text{Ker } P.$$

Since

$$K_p: \text{Im } L \subset C_T \rightarrow D(L) \cap \text{Ker } P \subset C_T^1$$

is an embedding operator, so K_p is a completely continuous operator; on the other hand, by the definitions of Q and N , it is clear that $QN(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded. Hence nonlinear operator N is L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$.

3. Existence of periodic solution for equation (1.1)

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that $\int_0^T e(s) ds = 0$, $\int_0^T \varphi^2(s) ds \neq 0$, $|c(t)| \neq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and there exist constants $d > 0$ and $r \geq 0$ such that*

(H1) $xg(x) > 0$, whenever $|x| > d$;

(H2) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |g(x)|/|x| \leq r \in [0, \infty)$.

Then equation (1.1) has at least one T -periodic solution, if

$$\max \left\{ \frac{c_1 T}{1 - c_0}, \frac{Tr}{1 - c_0 - c_1 T} \right\} < 1 \quad \text{for } c_0 < \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\max \left\{ \frac{c_1 T}{\sigma - 1}, \frac{Tr}{\sigma - 1 - c_1 T} \right\} < 1 \quad \text{for } \sigma > 1.$$

PROOF. We complete the proof by three steps.

Step 1. Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in D(L) : Lx = \lambda Nx, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$. We show that Ω_1 is a bounded set. For all $x \in \Omega_1$, $Lx = \lambda Nx$, i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad (Ax)'(t) = -\lambda g(x(t - \gamma(t))) + \lambda e(t).$$

Integrating both sides of (3.1) over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\int_0^T g(x(t - \gamma(t))) dt = 0.$$

From integral mean value theorem, there is a constant $\xi \in [0, T]$ such that $g(x(\xi - \gamma(\xi))) = 0$, from assumption (H1) we have $|x(\xi - \gamma(\xi))| \leq d$. Because $x(t)$ is a T -periodic function, then there exists a constant $\xi^* \in [0, T]$ satisfying $\xi - \gamma(\xi) = \xi^* + kT, k \in Z$, then we have $|x(\xi^*)| \leq d$. Hence

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |x|_0 &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left| x(\xi^*) + \int_{\xi^*}^t x'(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq |x(\xi^*)| + \int_0^T |x'(s)| ds \leq d + \int_0^T |x'(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

From $[Ax](t) = x(t) - c(t)x(t - \tau)$, for all $x \in C_T^1$, we have

$$(Ax')(t) = (Ax)'(t) + c'(t)x(t - \tau),$$

then from Lemma 2.1 and (3.2), if $c_0 < 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt &= \int_0^T |(A^{-1}Ax')(t)| dt \leq \int_0^T \frac{|(Ax')(t)|}{1 - c_0} dt \\ &= \int_0^T \frac{|(Ax)'(t) + c'(t)x(t - \tau)|}{1 - c_0} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{|(Ax)'(t)|}{1 - c_0} dt + \frac{c_1 T}{1 - c_0} \left(d + \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of $c_1 T / (1 - c_0) < 1$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt \leq \int_0^T \frac{|(Ax)'(t)|}{1 - c_0 - c_1 T} dt + \frac{c_1 T d}{1 - c_0 - c_1 T}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^T |(Ax)'(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^T |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt + T|e|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider $\int_0^T |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt$. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{T(r+z)}{1 - c_0 - c_1 T}, \quad z \in [0, \infty).$$

From $Tr/(1 - c_0 - c_1T) < 1$, we have $F(0) < 1$. Since $F(z)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, so there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(z) = \frac{T(r+z)}{1 - c_0 - c_1T} < 1, \quad z \in (0, \delta].$$

Choosing $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_1/2 > 0$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{T(r + \varepsilon_1)}{1 - c_0 - c_1T} < 1.$$

Similarly, there exists a constant $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{T(r + \varepsilon_2)}{\sigma - 1 - c_1T} < 1.$$

For such a constant ε_1 , in view of assumption (H2), we obtain that there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad |g(x)| \leq (r + \varepsilon_1)|x|, \quad \text{whenever } |x| > \rho.$$

Let

$$E_1 = \{t \in [0, T] : |x(t - \gamma(t))| > \rho\}, \quad E_2 = \{t \in [0, T] : |x(t - \gamma(t))| \leq \rho\}.$$

By (3.7) we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^T |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt &= \left(\int_{E_1} |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt + \int_{E_2} |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt \right) \\ &\leq T(r + \varepsilon_1)|x|_0 + Tg_\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_\rho = \max_{|u| \leq \rho} |g(u)|$. From (3.4) and (3.8) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^T |(Ax)'(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^T |g(x(t - \gamma(t)))| dt + T|e|_0 \\ &\leq T(r + \varepsilon_1)|x|_0 + Tg_\rho + T|e|_0. \end{aligned}$$

If $c_0 < 1/2$, from (3.3) and (3.9) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt \leq \frac{T(r + \varepsilon_1)}{1 - c_0 - c_1T} |x|_0 + \frac{Tg_\rho + T|e|_0}{1 - c_0 - c_1T} + \frac{c_1Td}{1 - c_0 - c_1T}.$$

From (3.2) and (3.10) we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |x|_0 &\leq d + \int_0^T |x'(s)| ds \\ &\leq d + \frac{T(r + \varepsilon_1)}{1 - c_0 - c_1T} |x|_0 + \frac{Tg_\rho + T|e|_0}{1 - c_0 - c_1T} + \frac{c_1Td}{1 - c_0 - c_1T}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5) and (3.11) there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that $|x|_0 \leq M_1$.

If $\sigma > 1$, from (3.6) and the condition $c_1T/(\sigma - 1) < 1$, similar to the above proof, we obtain that there exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that $|x|_0 \leq M_2$. Then we have $|x|_0 < \max\{M_1, M_2\} + 1 := \overline{M}$.

Step 2. Let $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \text{Ker } L : QNx = 0\}$, we shall prove that Ω_2 is a bounded set. For all $x \in \Omega_2$, when $x = a_0\varphi(t)$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \int_0^T g(a_0\varphi(t)) dt = 0.$$

When $c_0 < 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= A^{-1}(1) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^j c(t - (i - 1)\tau) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^j c_0 = 1 - \frac{c_0}{1 - c_0} = \frac{1 - 2c_0}{1 - c_0} := \delta_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $a_0 \leq d/\delta_1$. Otherwise, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $a_0\varphi(t) > d$, from assumption (H1), we have

$$\int_0^T g(a_0\varphi(t)) dt > 0$$

which is contradiction to (3.12). When $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= A^{-1}(1) = -\frac{1}{c(t + \tau)} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{j+1} \frac{1}{c(t + i\tau)} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{\sigma} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{j+1} \frac{1}{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{\sigma - 1} := \delta_2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $a_0 \leq -d/\delta_2$. Otherwise, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $a_0\varphi(t) < -d$, from assumption (H1), we have

$$\int_0^T g(a_0\varphi(t)) dt < 0$$

which is contradiction to (3.12). So Ω_2 is a bounded set.

Denote $|a_0\varphi(t)| \leq \widehat{M}$ and $M = \max\{\overline{M}, \widehat{M}\} + 1$.

Step 3. Let $\Omega = \{x \in X : |x|_0 < M\}$, then $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \subset \Omega$. For all $(x, \lambda) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, 1)$, from the above proof, $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ is satisfied. Obviously, condition (b) of Lemma 2.2 is also satisfied. Now we prove that condition (c) of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. Take the homotopy

$$H(x, \mu) = \mu x - (1 - \mu)JQNx, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega} \cap \text{Ker } L, \quad \mu \in [0, 1],$$

where $J: \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$ is a homeomorphism with $Ja = a\varphi(t)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$, we have $x = a_1\varphi$, $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $|a_1\varphi| = M > d$, then

$$H(x, \mu) = a_1\varphi\mu + (1 - \mu)g(a_1\varphi).$$

By using assumption (H1), we have $H(x, \mu) \neq 0$. And then by the degree theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} &= \deg\{H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \\ &= \deg\{H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \\ &= \deg\{I, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we reach the conclusion. \square

As applications, we consider an example:

EXAMPLE 3.2.

$$(3.13) \quad \left(x(t) - \frac{1}{10}(2 - \sin t)x(t - \tau) \right)' + g\left(x\left(t - \frac{1}{2}\sin t \right) \right) = \cos t,$$

where $\gamma(t) = (1/2)\sin t$, $e(t) = \cos t$, $c(t) = (1/10)(2 - \sin t)$, $T = 2\pi$,

$$g(u) = \begin{cases} e^{\sin u} & \text{for } u \geq 0, \\ \frac{1}{101}u & \text{for } u < 0. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|} < \frac{1}{100} := r,$$

$c_0 = 3/10$ and $c_1 = 1/10$. From simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{c_1 T}{1 - c_0} = \frac{2\pi}{7} < 1, \quad \frac{Tr}{1 - c_0 - c_1 T} = \frac{\pi}{35 - 10\pi} < 1.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, (3.13) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. DU, L. GUO, W. GE AND S. LU, *Periodic solutions for generalized Liénard neutral equation with variable parameter*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), 385–392.
- [2] R. E. GAINES AND J. L. MAWHIN, *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [3] B. LIU AND L. HUANG, *Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for a kind of first order neutral functional differential equation*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **322** (2006), 121–132.
- [4] S. LU AND W. GE, *On the existence of periodic solutions for neutral functional differential equation*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **54** (2003), 1285–1306.
- [5] S. LU, W. GE AND Z. ZHENG, *Periodic solutions to neutral differential equation with deviating arguments*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **152** (2004), 17–27.
- [6] S. LU, J. REN AND W. GE, *Problems of periodic solutions for a kind of second order neutral functional differential equation*, *Appl. Anal.* **82** (2003), 411–426.

- [7] E. SERRA, *Periodic solutions for some nonlinear differential equations of neutral type*, Nonlinear Anal. **17** (1991), 139–151.

Manuscript received March 2, 2008

BO DU
Department of Mathematics
School of Science
Zhejiang Forestry College
Hangzhou 311300, P.R. China
E-mail address: dubo7307@163.com

JIANXIN ZHAO
Navy Submarine Academy
Qingdao, 266071, P.R. China

WEIGAO GE
Department of Mathematics
Beijing Institute of Technology
Beijing, 100081, P.R. China