

MULTIPLE NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC SEMILINEAR EQUATIONS

KANISHKA PERERA — MARTIN SCHECHTER

ABSTRACT. We find multiple solutions for semilinear boundary value problems when the corresponding functional exhibits local splitting at zero.

1. Introduction

In his studies of semilinear elliptic problems with jumping nonlinearities, Căc [2] proved the following

THEOREM 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $0 < \lambda_0 < \dots < \lambda_k < \dots$ be the sequence of distinct eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let $p(t)$ be a continuous function such that $p(0) = 0$ and

$$p(t)/t \rightarrow a \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad p(t)/t \rightarrow b \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J65, 58E05, 49J27.

Key words and phrases. Semilinear elliptic PDE, variational methods, critical point theory, local splitting.

Assume that for some $k \geq 1$, we have $a \in (\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k)$, $b \in (\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1})$, and the only solution of

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = bu^+ - au^- & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

is $u \equiv 0$, where $u^\pm = \max[\pm u, 0]$. Assume further that

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{p(s) - p(t)}{s - t} \leq \nu < \lambda_{k+1}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s \neq t.$$

Assume also that $p'(0)$ exists and satisfies $p'(0) \in (\lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_j)$ for some $j \leq k$. Then

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = p(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has at least two nontrivial solutions.

This theorem generalizes the work of Gallouët and Kavian [7] which required λ_k to be a simple eigenvalue and the left hand side of (1.3) to be sandwiched in between λ_{k-1} and λ_{k+1} and bounded away from both of them. Cac proves a counterpart of the theorem in which the inequalities are reversed.

In the present paper we generalize this theorem and its reverse inequality counterpart by not requiring $p(t)/t$ to converge to limits at either $\pm\infty$ or 0. Rather, we work with the primitive

$$F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$$

and bound $2F(x, t)/t^2$ near $\pm\infty$ and 0 (we replace $p(t)$ with a function $f(x, t)$ depending on x as well). Our main assumptions are

$$(1.5) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \leq a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| < \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$,

$$(1.7) \quad a_2(t^-)^2 + b_2(t^+)^2 - W_1(x) \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| > K$$

for some $K > 0$ and $W_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$, where the constants $a, a_0, a_1, a_2, b, b_0, b_1, b_2$ are suitably chosen (they include the cases considered by Cac). The advantage of such inequalities is that they do not restrict the expression $2F(x, t)/t^2$ or $f(x, t)/t$ to any particular interval. Special cases of our theorems were proved by Li–Willem [9]

2. Statement of the theorems

Let Ω be a smooth, bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and let A be a selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\Omega)$. We assume that

$$(2.1) \quad C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset D := D(|A|^{1/2}) \subset H^{T,2}(\Omega)$$

holds for some $T > 0$ (T need not be an integer), and the eigenvalues of A satisfy

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \dots < \lambda_k < \dots$$

We use the notation

$$a(u, v) = (Au, v), \quad a(u) = a(u, u), \quad u, v \in D.$$

Let $f(x, t)$ be a Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. This means that $f(x, t)$ is continuous in t for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and measurable in x for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that the function $f(x, t)$ satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad |f(x, t)| \leq C(|t| + 1), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We define

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_D &:= \|A^{1/2}u\|, \\ F(x, t) &:= \int_0^t f(x, s) ds, \\ G(u) &:= \|u\|_D^2 - 2 \int_\Omega F(x, u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that G is a continuously differentiable functional on the whole of D (cf. [17, p. 57]) and

$$(G'(u), v)_D = 2(u, v)_D - 2(f(u), v),$$

where we write $f(u)$ in place of $f(x, u(x))$. In connection with the operator A , the following quantities are very useful. For each fixed positive integer ℓ we let N_ℓ denote the subspace of D spanned by the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_\ell$, and let $M_\ell = N_\ell^\perp \cap D$. Then $D = M_\ell \oplus N_\ell$. For real a, b we define

$$I(u, a, b) = (Au, u) - a\|u^-\|^2 - b\|u^+\|^2,$$

where $u^\pm(x) = \max\{\pm u(x), 0\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\ell(a) &= \sup\{I(v, a, 0) : v \in N_\ell, \|v^+\| = 1\}, \\ \Gamma_\ell(a) &= \inf\{I(w, a, 0) : w \in M_\ell, \|w^+\| = 1\}, \\ F_{1\ell}(w, a, b) &= \sup\{I(v + w, a, b) : v \in N_\ell\}, \\ F_{2\ell}(v, a, b) &= \inf\{I(v + w, a, b) : w \in M_\ell\}, \\ M_\ell(a, b) &= \inf\{F_{1\ell}(w, a, b) : w \in M_\ell, \|w\|_D = 1\}, \\ m_\ell(a, b) &= \sup\{F_{2\ell}(v, a, b) : v \in N_\ell, \|v\|_D = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\ell(a) &= \sup\{b : M_\ell(a, b) \geq 0\}, \\ \mu_\ell(a) &= \inf\{b : m_\ell(a, b) \leq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Our first result is

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that for some integers $l < m$ the following inequalities hold.*

$$(2.4) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \leq a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0,$$

where $b < \Gamma_m(a)$.

$$(2.5) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $a_0, b_0 < \lambda_{l+1}$, $a_1, b_1 > \lambda_l$, $b_0 > \mu_l(a_0)$, and $b_1 < \nu_l(a_1)$.

$$(2.6) \quad a_2(t^-)^2 + b_2(t^+)^2 - W_1(x) \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| > K,$$

for some $K \geq 0$, where $a_2, b_2 < \lambda_{m+1}$, $b_2 > \mu_m(a_2)$, and $W_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then the equation

$$(2.7) \quad Au = f(x, u), \quad u \in D$$

has at least two nontrivial solutions.

In contrast to this we have

THEOREM 2.2. *Equation (2.7) will have at least two nontrivial solutions if we assume that for some integers $l > m$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.8) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \geq a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0,$$

where $b > \gamma_m(a)$,

$$(2.9) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $a_0, b_0 < \lambda_{l+1}$, $b_0 > \mu_l(a_0)$ and $a_1, b_1 > \lambda_l$, $b_1 < \nu_l(a_1)$,

$$(2.10) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a_2(t^-)^2 + b_2(t^+)^2 + W_2(x), \quad |t| > K,$$

for some $K \geq 0$, where $a_2, b_2 > \lambda_m$, $b_2 < \nu_m(a_2)$ and $W_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Immediate consequences of these theorems are

COROLLARY 2.1. *Assume that for some integers $l < m$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.11) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \leq at^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0,$$

where $a < \lambda_{m+1}$,

$$(2.12) \quad a_0t^2 \leq 2F(x, t) \leq a_1t^2, \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $\lambda_l < a_0 \leq a_1 < \lambda_{l+1}$,

$$(2.13) \quad a_2 t^2 - W_1(x) \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| > K,$$

for some $K \geq 0$, where $a_2 > \lambda_m$ and $W_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then the equation (2.7) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Equation (2.7) will have at least two nontrivial solutions if we assume that for some integers $l > m$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.14) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \geq at^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0,$$

where $a > \lambda_m$,

$$(2.15) \quad a_0 t^2 \leq 2F(x, t) \leq a_1 t^2, \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $\lambda_l < a_0 \leq a_1 < \lambda_{l+1}$,

$$(2.16) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a_2 t^2 + W_2(x), \quad |t| > K,$$

for some $K \geq 0$, where $a_2 < \lambda_{m+1}$ and $W_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$.

It was shown in [15] that the functions γ_l , μ_l , ν_{l-1} , Γ_{l-1} all emanate from the point (λ_l, λ_l) and satisfy

$$\Gamma_{l-1}(a) \leq \nu_{l-1}(a) \leq \mu_l(a) \leq \gamma_l(a)$$

on their common domains. It would therefore give a weaker result if we assumed in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that $b_0 > \gamma_l(a_0)$ and $b_1 < \Gamma_l(a_1)$. However, the functions γ_l , Γ_l are defined on the whole of \mathbb{R} , while the others are not. For cases in which the other functions are not defined we state the following

THEOREM 2.3. *Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 remain true if we assume that (2.5) holds with $b_0 > \gamma_l(a_0)$, and $b_1 < \Gamma_l(a_1)$ for some $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.*

3. Some lemmas

The proofs of the theorems of Section 2 will be based on a series of lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $b < \Gamma_l(a)$, then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad I(w, a, b) \geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M_l.$$

PROOF. By the continuity of Γ_l , there is a $t < 1$ such that $b/t < \Gamma_l(a/t)$. Then,

$$I(w, a/t, b/t) = \|w\|_D^2 - \frac{a}{t} \|w^-\|^2 - \frac{b}{t} \|w^+\|^2 \geq 0, \quad w \in M_l.$$

Therefore,

$$I(w, a, b) = t \left[\|w\|_D^2 - \frac{a}{t} \|w^-\|^2 - \frac{b}{t} \|w^+\|^2 \right] + (1-t) \|w\|_D^2 \geq (1-t) \|w\|_D^2. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If $b > \gamma_l(a)$, then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad I(v, a, b) \leq -\varepsilon \|v\|_D^2, \quad v \in N_l.$$

PROOF. By the continuity of γ_l , there is a $t > 1$ such that $b/t > \gamma_l(a/t)$. Hence,

$$I(v, a/t, b/t) = \|v\|_D^2 - \frac{a}{t} \|v^-\|^2 - \frac{b}{t} \|v^+\|^2 \leq 0, \quad v \in N_l,$$

and

$$I(v, a, b) = t \left[\|v\|_D^2 - \frac{a}{t} \|v^-\|^2 - \frac{b}{t} \|v^+\|^2 \right] + (1-t) \|v\|_D^2 \leq (1-t) \|v\|_D^2. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3.3. *If*

$$(3.3) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \leq a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0,$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad (G'(v + w_1) - G'(v + w_0), w) \geq 2I(w, a, b), \quad v, w_j \in D, \quad w = w_1 - w_0.$$

PROOF. We have

$$(f(x, v + w_1) - f(x, v + w_0), w) \leq a \|w^-\|^2 + b \|w^+\|^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & (G'(v + w_1) - G'(v + w_0), w)/2 \\ &= \|w\|_D^2 - (f(x, v + w_1) - f(x, v + w_0), w) \geq I(w, a, b). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.4. *If $f(x, t)$ satisfies (3.3), and $b < \Gamma_m(a)$, then there is a continuous map φ from N_m into M_m such that*

$$(3.5) \quad J(v) \equiv G(v + \varphi(v)) = \min_{w \in M_m} G(v + w) \in C^1(N_m, \mathbb{R}), \quad v \in N_m,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad J'(v) = G'(v + \varphi(v)), \quad v \in N_m.$$

PROOF. In view of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, we have

$$(G'(v + w_1) - G'(v + w_0), w) \geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M_m.$$

We can now apply a well known theorem of Castro [3] to arrive at the conclusion. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *If, in addition,*

$$(3.7) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $a_0, b_0 < \lambda_{l+1}$, $b_0 > \mu_l(a_0)$, $l \leq m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad J(v) \leq -\varepsilon \|v\|_D^2, \quad v \in N_l \cap B_r,$$

where $B_r = \{u \in D : \|u\|_D \leq r\}$.

PROOF. Let q be any number satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 2 < q &\leq 2n/(n-2T), & 2T < n, \\ 2 < q &< \infty, & n \leq 2T. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in Schechter [16] that there is a continuous map $\tau : N_l \rightarrow M_l$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \tau(sv) = s\tau(v), \quad s \geq 0,$$

$$(3.10) \quad I(v + \tau(v), a_0, b_0) = \inf_{w \in M_l} I(v + w, a_0, b_0), \quad v \in N_l,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|\tau(v)\|_D \leq C\|v\|_D, \quad v \in N_l.$$

Then, for $u = v + \tau(v)$, we have by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} J(v) \leq G(u) &\leq I(u, a_0, b_0) + \int_{|u|>\delta} [a_0(u^-)^2 + b_0(u^+)^2 - 2F(x, u)] dx \\ &\leq F_{2l}(v, a_0, b_0) + C \int_{|u|>\delta} |u|^q dx \\ &\leq m_l(a_0, b_0) \|v\|_D^2 + o(\|v\|_D^2) \leq -\varepsilon \|v\|_D^2 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small (cf. [17], p. 159–160). \square

LEMMA 3.6. *Assume that*

$$(3.12) \quad a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2 - W_1(x) \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| > K,$$

for some $K \geq 0$, where $a, b < \lambda_{m+1}$, $b \geq \mu_m(a)$, $l \leq m$, and $W_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then there is a $K_1 < \infty$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad J(v) \leq K_1.$$

If $b > \mu_m(a)$, then

$$(3.14) \quad J(v) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } \|v\|_D \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. For $u = v + w$, $v \in N_m$, $w \in M_m$, we have

$$G(u) \leq I(u, a, b) + C \int_{|u|<K} |u|^q dx + \int_{\Omega} W_1(x) dx \leq I(u, a, b) + K'.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J(v) &= \inf_{w \in M_m} G(v+w) \leq \inf_{w \in M_m} I(v+w, a, b) + K' \\ &= F_{2m}(v, a, b) + K' \leq m(a, b) \|v\|_D^2 + K'. \end{aligned}$$

If $b \geq \mu_m(a)$, then $m(a, b) \leq 0$. This proves (3.13). If $b > \mu_m(a)$, then $m(a, b) < 0$. This proves (3.14). \square

LEMMA 3.7. *If $l < m$, and $\lambda_l < a, b < \lambda_{m+1}$, then there are continuous functions $\xi : N_m \cap M_l \rightarrow N_l$, $\eta : N_m \cap M_l \rightarrow M_m$ homogeneous of degree one and such that, for $y \in N_m \cap M_l$,*

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\xi(y) + \eta(y) + y, a, b) &= \sup_{v \in N_l} \inf_{w \in M_m} I(v+w+y, a, b) \\ &= \inf_{w \in M_m} \sup_{v \in N_l} I(v+w+y, a, b). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let $L_y(v, w) = I(v+w+y, a, b)$. Then L_y is a strictly convex lower semicontinuous functional in $w \in M_m$, and strictly concave and continuous in $v \in N_l$. By a theorem of Ky-Fan (cf. [6]), for each $y_0 \in N_m \cap M_l$ there are unique elements $v_0 = \xi(y_0) \in N_l$, $w_0 = \eta(y_0) \in M_m$ such that (3.15) holds, i.e., that

$$L_{y_0}(v, w_0) \leq L_{y_0}(v_0, w_0) \leq L_{y_0}(v_0, w), \quad v \in N_l, \quad w \in M_m.$$

The functions ξ, η are clearly homogeneous of degree one. To prove continuity, let $y_j \rightarrow y_0$ in $N_l \cap M_m$, and let $v_j = \xi(y_j)$, $w_j = \eta(y_j)$. We note that the functions v_j and w_j are bounded in D . For otherwise, it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I(v+w_j+y_j, a, b) &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for any } v \in N_l, \\ I(v_j+w+y_j, a, b) &\rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for any } w \in M_m. \end{aligned}$$

This would contradict (3.15). Thus there are renamed subsequences such that $v_j \rightarrow v_1$, $w_j \rightarrow w_1$ in D . Since

$$I(v+w_j+y_j, a, b) \leq I(v_j+w_j+y_j, a, b) \leq I(v_j+w+y_j, a, b),$$

for $v \in N_l$, $w \in M_m$, we have in the limit

$$I(v+w_1+y_0, a, b) \leq I(v_1+w_1+y_0, a, b) \leq I(v_1+w+y_0, a, b),$$

for $v \in N_l$, $w \in M_m$, showing that $v_1 = v_0$, $w_1 = w_0$. Since this is true for any subsequence, the result follows. \square

LEMMA 3.8. *If*

$$(3.16) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $a_1, b_1 > \lambda_l$, $b_1 < \nu_l(a_1)$, $l < m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.17) \quad J(y + \xi(y)) \geq \varepsilon \|y\|_D^2, \quad y \in N_m \cap M_l \cap B_r.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.7 we have

$$(3.18) \quad \inf_{w \in M_m} I(\xi(y) + y + w, a_1, b_1) = \inf_{w \in M_m} \sup_{v \in N_l} I(v + y + w, a_1, b_1),$$

for $y \in N_m \cap M_l$. Then for $y \in (N_m \cap M_l \cap B_r) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\xi(y) + y) &= G(\xi(y) + y + \varphi(\xi(y) + y)) \\ &\geq I(\xi(y) + y + \varphi(\xi(y) + y), a_1, b_1) - o(\|y\|_D^2) \\ &\geq \inf_{w \in M_m} I(\xi(y) + y + w, a_1, b_1) - o(\|y\|_D^2) \\ &= \inf_{w \in M_m} \sup_{v \in N_l} I(v + y + w, a_1, b_1) - o(\|y\|_D^2) \\ &\geq \inf_{w \in M_m} M_l(a, b) \|y + w\|_D^2 - o(\|y\|_D^2) \\ &= M_l(a, b) \|y\|_D^2 - o(\|y\|_D^2) \geq \varepsilon \|y\|_D^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.9. *Assume*

$$(3.20) \quad t[f(x, t_1) - f(x, t_0)] \geq a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2, \quad t_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t = t_1 - t_0.$$

Then

$$(3.21) \quad (G'(v_1 + w) - G'(v_0 + w), v) \leq 2I(v, a, b), \quad v_j, w \in D, \quad v = v_1 - v_0.$$

PROOF. We have

$$(f(x, v_1 + w) - f(x, v_0 + w), v) \geq a\|v^-\|^2 + b\|v^+\|^2.$$

Hence

$$(G'(v_1 + w) - G'(v_0 + w), v)/2 = \|v\|_D^2 - (f(x, v_1 + w) - f(x, v_0 + w), v) \leq I(v, a, b). \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3.10. *If $f(x, t)$ satisfies (3.20), and $b > \gamma_m(a)$, then there is a continuous map ψ from $M_m \rightarrow N_m$ such that*

$$(3.22) \quad J(w) \equiv G(w + \psi(w)) = \max_{v \in N_m} G(v + w) \in C^1(M_m, \mathbb{R}), \quad w \in M_m,$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad J'(w) = G'(w + \psi(w)), \quad w \in M_m.$$

PROOF. In view of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.9 we have

$$(G'(v_1 + w) - G'(v_0 + w), v) \leq -\varepsilon \|v\|_D^2, \quad v \in N_m.$$

We can now apply the theorem of Castro [3] to obtain the conclusion. \square

LEMMA 3.11. *If, in addition,*

$$(3.24) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $a_0, b_0 < \lambda_{l+1}$, $b_0 > \mu_l(a_0)$, $l > m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.25) \quad J(y + \eta(y)) \leq -\varepsilon \|y\|_D^2, \quad y \in N_l \cap M_m \cap B_r.$$

PROOF. For $y \in M_m \cap N_l$, let $u = y + \eta(y) \in M_m$. By (2.2),

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} J(u) &= G(u + \psi(u)) \leq I(u + \psi(u), a_0, b_0) + o(\|u\|_D^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in N_m} I(u + v, a_0, b_0) + o(\|u\|_D^2) \\ &= I(y + \eta(y) + \xi(y), a_0, b_0) + o(\|u\|_D^2) \\ &= \sup_{v \in N_m} \inf_{w \in M_l} I(y + v + w, a_0, b_0) + o(\|u\|_D^2) \\ &= \sup_{v \in N_m} F_{2l}(y + v, a_0, b_0) + o(\|u\|_D^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in N_m} m_l(a_0, b_0) \|y + v\|_D^2 + o(\|u\|_D^2) \leq -\varepsilon \|y\|_D^2 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small (cf. [17, p. 159]). \square

LEMMA 3.12. *If*

$$(3.27) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $a_1, b_1 > \lambda_l$, $b_1 < \nu_l(a_1)$, $l > m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.28) \quad J(w) \geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M_l \cap B_r.$$

PROOF. We recall from Schechter [16] that there is a continuous map $\theta : M_l \rightarrow N_l$ such that

$$(3.29) \quad \theta(s w) = s \theta(w), \quad s \geq 0,$$

$$(3.30) \quad I(\theta(w) + w, a_1, b_1) = \sup_{v \in N_l} I(v + w, a_1, b_1), \quad w \in M_l.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(w) &\geq G(w + \theta(w), a_1, b_1) \geq I(w + \theta(w), a_1, b_1) - o(\|w\|_D^2) \\
 &= \sup_{v \in N_l} I(v + w, a_1, b_1) - o(\|w\|_D^2) \\
 &= F_{1l}(w, a_1, b_1) - o(\|w\|_D^2) \\
 &\geq M_l(a_1, b_1)\|w\|_D^2 - o(\|w\|_D^2) \geq \varepsilon\|w\|_D^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small. \square

LEMMA 3.13. *Assume that*

$$(3.31) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a(t^-)^2 + b(t^+)^2 + W_1(x), \quad |t| > K$$

for some $K \geq 0$, where $a, b > \lambda_m$, $b \leq \nu_m(a)$, $l \geq m$, and $W_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then there is a $K_1 < \infty$ such that

$$(3.32) \quad J(w) \geq -K_1, \quad w \in M_m.$$

If $b < \nu_m(a)$, then

$$(3.33) \quad J(w) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|w\|_D \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. For $u = v + w$, $v \in N_m$, $w \in M_m$, we have

$$G(u) \geq I(u, a, b) - C \int_{|u| < K} |u|^q dx - \int_{\Omega} W_1(x) dx \geq I(u, a, b) - K'.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(w) &= \sup_{v \in N_m} G(v + w) \geq \sup_{v \in N_m} I(v + w, a, b) - K' \\
 &= F_{1m}(w, a, b) - K' \geq M_m(a, b)\|w\|_D^2 - K'.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $b \leq \nu_m(a)$, then $M_m(a, b) \geq 0$. This proves (3.32). If $b < \nu_m(a)$, then $M_m(a, b) > 0$. This proves (3.33). \square

LEMMA 3.14. *If*

$$(3.34) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| < \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $b_0 > \gamma_l(a_0)$, $l \leq m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.35) \quad J(v) \leq -\varepsilon\|v\|_D^2, \quad v \in N_l \cap B_r,$$

where $B_r = \{u \in D : \|u\|_D \leq r\}$.

PROOF. Let q be any number satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 < q &\leq 2n/(n - 2T), & 2T < n, \\
 2 < q &< \infty, & n \leq 2T.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} J(v) &\leq G(v) \leq I(v, a_0, b_0) + \int_{|v|>\delta} [a_0(v^-)^2 + b_0(v^+)^2 - 2F(x, v)] dx \\ &\leq -\varepsilon\|v\|_D^2 + C \int_{|v|>\delta} |v|^q dx \leq -\varepsilon\|v\|_D^2 + o(\|v\|_D^2) \leq -\varepsilon\|v\|_D^2 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small (cf. [17, p. 60]). \square

LEMMA 3.15. *If*

$$(3.36) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $b_1 < \Gamma_l(a_1)$, $l < m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.37) \quad J(v) \geq \varepsilon\|v\|_D^2, \quad v \in N_m \cap M_l \cap B_r.$$

PROOF. Let $u = v + \varphi(v) \in M_l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J(v) = G(u) &\geq I(u, a_1, b_1) + \int_{|u|>\delta} [a_0(u^-)^2 + b_0(u^+)^2 - 2F(x, u)] dx \\ &\geq \varepsilon\|u\|_D^2 - C \int_{|u|>\delta} |u|^q dx \geq \varepsilon\|u\|_D^2 - o(\|u\|_D^2) \\ &\geq \varepsilon\|v\|_D^2 - o(\|v\|_D^2) \geq \varepsilon\|v\|_D^2 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small, since $\|v\|_D \leq \|u\|_D \leq C\|v\|_D$. \square

LEMMA 3.16. *If*

$$(3.38) \quad a_0(t^-)^2 + b_0(t^+)^2 \leq 2F(x, t), \quad |t| < \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$ with $b_0 > \gamma_l(a_0)$, $l \geq m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.39) \quad J(w) \leq -\varepsilon\|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in N_l \cap M_m \cap B_r.$$

PROOF. For $w \in M_m \cap N_l$, let $u = w + \psi(w) \in N_l$. By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} J(w) = G(w + \psi(w)) &= G(u) \\ &\leq I(u, a_0, b_0) + \int_{|u|>\delta} [a_0(v^-)^2 + b_0(u^+)^2 - 2F(x, u)] dx \\ &\leq -\varepsilon\|u\|_D^2 + C \int_{|u|>\delta} |u|^q dx \leq -\varepsilon\|u\|_D^2 + o(\|u\|_D^2) \leq -\varepsilon\|u\|_D^2 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small (cf. [17, p. 60]). Since $\|w\|_D \leq \|u\|_D \leq C\|w\|_D$, the result follows. \square

LEMMA 3.17. *If*

$$(3.40) \quad 2F(x, t) \leq a_1(t^-)^2 + b_1(t^+)^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, with $b_1 < \Gamma_l(a_1)$, $l > m$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$(3.41) \quad J(w) \geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2, \quad w \in M_l \cap B_r.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(w) &\geq I(w, a_1, b_1) + \int_{|w|>\delta} [a_0(u^-)^2 + b_0(w^+)^2 - 2F(x, w)] dx \\ &\geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2 - C \int_{|w|>\delta} |w|^q dx \geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2 - o(\|w\|_D^2) \\ &\geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2 - o(\|w\|_D^2) \geq \varepsilon \|w\|_D^2 \end{aligned}$$

for r sufficiently small. Since $J(w) = \sup_{v \in N_l} G(v + w) \geq G(w)$, the result follows. \square

4. The proofs

We prove the theorems of Section 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that $J(v)$ has two nontrivial solutions. Now J is bounded from above by Lemma 3.6 and it satisfies (PS) by (3.14). Moreover,

$$(4.1) \quad J(v) < 0, \quad v \in N_l \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.5, and

$$(4.2) \quad J(\xi(y) + y) > 0, \quad y \in N_m \cap M_l \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.8. Thus J has a positive maximum on N_m . We can now apply a theorem of Perera [11] to obtain the desired conclusion. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. By Lemma 3.10, it suffices to show that $J(w)$ given by (3.22) has two nontrivial solutions. Now J is bounded from below by Lemma 3.13 and it satisfies (PS) by (3.33). Moreover,

$$(4.3) \quad J(w + \eta(w)) < 0, \quad w \in N_l \cap M_m \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.11, and

$$(4.4) \quad J(w) > 0, \quad w \in M_l \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.12. Thus J has a negative minimum on M_m . We can now apply the theorem of Perera [11] to obtain the desired conclusion. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. With reference to Theorem 2.1, we note that by Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that $J(v)$ has two nontrivial solutions. Now J is bounded from above by Lemma 3.6 and it satisfies (PS) by (3.14). Moreover,

$$(4.5) \quad J(v) < 0, \quad v \in N_l \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.14, and

$$(4.6) \quad J(v) > 0, \quad v \in N_m \cap M_l \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.15. Thus J has a positive maximum on N_m . We can now apply a theorem of Brézis–Nirenberg [1] to obtain the desired conclusion. With respect to Theorem 2.2, we note that by Lemma 3.10, it suffices to show that $J(w)$ given by (3.22) has two nontrivial solutions. Now J is bounded from below by Lemma 3.13 and it satisfies (PS) by (3.33). Moreover,

$$(4.7) \quad J(w) < 0, \quad w \in N_l \cap M_m \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.16, and

$$(4.8) \quad J(w) > 0, \quad w \in M_l \cap B_r \setminus \{0\},$$

by Lemma 3.17. Thus J has a negative minimum on M_m . We can now apply the theorem of Brézis–Nirenberg [1] to obtain the desired conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BRÉZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, *Remarks on finding critical points*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **44** (1991), 939–964.
- [2] N. P. CÁC, *On nontrivial solutions of a Dirichlet problem whose jumping nonlinearity crosses a multiple eigenvalue*, J. Differential Equations **80** (1989), 379–404.
- [3] A. CASTRO, *Hammerstein integral equations with indefinite kernel*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **1** (1978), 187–201.
- [4] K. C. CHANG, *Infinite-Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [5] D. G. COSTA AND E. A. SILVA, *On a class of resonant problems at higher eigenvalues*, Differential Integral Equations **83** (1995), 663–671.
- [6] I. EKELAND AND R. TEMAM, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [7] T. GALLOUËT AND O. KAVIAN, *Résultats d'existence et de non-existence pour certains problèmes demi-linéaires à l'infini*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (5) **3** (1981), 201–246.
- [8] N. HIRANO AND T. NISHIMURA, *Multiplicity results for semilinear elliptic problems at resonance and with jumping nonlinearities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 566–586.
- [9] S. LI AND M. WILLEM, *Multiple solutions for asymptotically linear boundary value problems in which the nonlinearity crosses at least one eigenvalue*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **5** (1998), 479–490.
- [10] J. MAWHIN AND M. WILLEM, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1989.

- [11] K. PERERA, *Homological local linking*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **3** (1998), 181–189.
- [12] ———, *Applications of local linking to asymptotically linear elliptic problems at resonance*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **6** (1999), 55–62.
- [13] K. PERERA AND M. SCHECHTER, *The Fučík spectrum and critical groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [14] ———, *Type (II) regions between curves of the Fučík spectrum and critical groups*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **12** (1998), 227–243.
- [15] M. SCHECHTER, *The Fučík spectrum*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 1139–1157.
- [16] ———, *Type (II) regions between curves of the Fučík spectrum*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **4** (1997), 459–476.
- [17] ———, *Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.

Manuscript received March 28, 2000

KANISHKA PERERA
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901-6997, USA

E-mail address: kperera@winnie.ft.edu

MARTIN SCHECHTER
Department of Mathematics
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA

E-mail address: mschecht@math.uci.edu